

**From:** Michael Horn <michael@theyfly.com>  
**Date:** February 14, 2008 8:11:35 AM PST  
**To:** will penketh  
**Subject: Re: whats up michael,cat got your tounge???**

Pesky,

Allow me to first explain that the reason why you are receiving a reply, despite my auto-responder having informed you of the unlikelihood of same (due to the immense volume of email, from around the world, now being received in response to our new film, *The Silent Revolution of Truth*) is because I actually found a use for its irresistibly inane and inept content. And the reason that you're receiving a BCC of it as well is because, as part of its usefulness, I've copied this to a number of people who may appreciate it for a number of reasons.

For instance, I've copied it to the hapless Mr. Derek Bartholomaus of IIG because of his own invaluable and equally inane and inept contribution to the pile of failed skeptical challenges to the Meier case. And his are real beauties, which I, mercifully, won't go into in great detail here. Suffice it to say that this genius, who now enjoy some well deserved public "exposure" because he publicly (and erroneously) claimed that there was something illegal in our including him in the film, had about *four to six years* to try to cobble together a credible refutation of the irreproducible evidence in the Meier case and still stumbled and fumbled all over himself so badly that he is now actually trying to distance himself from his - permanently recorded for the ages - deer-in-the-headlights performance. Perhaps he'll find in you, and your brilliant challenges, a kindred spirit, so to speak, so don't be surprised if you hear from him seeking your help in debunking the Meier case.

The other reason for including your name for all to see is that some people might think that this email of yours was something I concocted to make fun of the skeptics out there. So this shows them that nothing could be further from the truth as you dolts are more than capable of shooting yourselves in your own feet, even while they're stuck in your mouth, without my help.

And now a few comments regarding your "challenge". Just as the poor guys as CFI-West/IIG, Jeff Ritzmann and other wannabes have done in the past, your photos are nice little shots that duplicate the "effect", i.e. seem to show an "unknown" object in the sky. However, like all of the aforementioned, they would fail the standards of testing that the Meier photos (and films and video) easily pass for authenticity, i.e. large objects a distance from the camera. You can read some of the Photo Analysis at my site for FREE (we "con men" do love to give away a lot of free stuff). And remember, before you're tempted to complain that I engage in self-responsible, self-reliant trade in order to be self-supporting - that no one forces you to buy anything about the Meier case and certainly not from

me.

Now, regarding large objects at a distance from the camera and large objects next to large objects (trees), etc., please take a nice, long, lingering look at this Meier photo:



...and notice the blurry tree in the foreground and the very CLEAR UFO and large tree in the background. Then read James Deardorff's nice, succinct explanation and information about the complete series of these photos here: <http://www.tjresearch.info/ufology.htm>. Of course, it would have helped Mr. Bartholomaeus immensely to have also done so before he stuck his foot in his mouth with his dopey "similar trees are the same tree" discourse, forever immortalized in our film, as I may have mentioned before. However, his exuberant ineptitude has inspired me to coin a new term, i.e. Mauseid, as in "he really Mauseid that up" (which he did numerous times in the film's Special Features section).

Now, while you may be disappointed that I don't rise up to meet your bold and daring "challenges", as you surely perceive them to be, allow me to suggest a couple of things that may well quiet your frazzled nerves and soothe your agitated mind just a little bit. First, do watch our film and get a sense of just how far over your head and out of your league you and your (and Wharton's, if you're two separate people) claims and photos are. And do stay tuned as I will be

making a presentation on March 1, at the IUFOC ([www.ufocongress.com](http://www.ufocongress.com)) that not only presents more, conclusive scientific information (with dated, copyrighted, published substantiation) but additional proof supplied by none other than our intrepid Junior G-man, Derek the Mausmeister who, in a sudden, irrepressibly gleeful, public posting actually provided the easily substantiated proof of Meier's authenticity, while intending to do just the opposite. A thing of beauty it is!

And may I suggest that you might have me confused with someone else, as I neither run from, nor hide behind, anything. I don't know if we can say the same for you, however, as you seem to prefer to make unsubstantiated accusations and hurl profanities, all the while hiding behind what may just be a screen name for another faceless poseur.

Now don't expect any more of my time as, beyond serving the delightful purpose I've put it to here, you certainly aren't worth it.

MH

To be honest i never expected a reply from you michael,after all you and meier are con men.Seems to me that you have met your match,and have been exposed for just exactly what you 2 are,a couple of fraudsters.Please answer me this horny-Why is it that when people visit your website that this information is not available for research purposes???Would that be because this would open their eyes,and give them a different perspective on the whole photographic evidence.What are you frightened of horny???If you have nothing to be afraid of then lets see this information by mr anthony wharton on your website with a link to his amazing research [www.flickr.com/photos/theseecretsofthesaucers](http://www.flickr.com/photos/theseecretsofthesaucers) and why you are at it put a message to all intrested in the case to please leave their response to whartons debunking claims,wether good or bad.I would be intrested to see peoples responses.Dont say the photographic evidence meir put forward is not the most important part of the case,as it clearly is,if meier came forward all those years ago without any photo evidence,and with the same claims,he would have not have even made the local paper.Also why havent you sent mr wharton any material for testing???Surely meier is still in regular,and present contact with these so called aliens,just like he claims,this would be a piece of cake for him to do,and would silence any debunkers.The reason why you cant produce any extraterrestrial material is because you cant get any.You know michael,running,and hiding behind your computer screen will one day come to a bitter end,and you will have to face your maker,and explain why you promoted such a huge pile of smelly bullshit as real evidence,rather you than me,i certainly would not want to be in your shoes,best,penky.